

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,152	02/27/2004	Motokazu Yasui	43780.011401	8994	
7590 09/09/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Greenberg Traurig, LLP 21st Floor			NGUYEN, ANTHONY H		
885 Third Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10022			2854		

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/789,152	YASUI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anthony H Nguyen	2854
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 F	February 2004	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2004 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	re: a) accepted or b) \(\square drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). I(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No. <u>09/330,669</u> . I received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-19 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,719,462. This is a double patenting rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Blackman et al. (US 6,029,020).

Application/Control Number: 10/789,152 Page 3

Art Unit: 2854

Blackman et al. teaches an ink jet printer 10 having an ink jet recording head 12 for printing on two sides of a record sheet (M) and a sheet feeding mechanism 22,59,78,80 which feeds a portion of a printed sheet to a location outside or a sheet output tray 124 and feeds the sheet back to the printer for printing the other side of the sheet (Blackman et al., col.3 lines 51-57). Note that a housing and the feeding of the dried printed sheet back into the housing for printing while not specifically stated in Blackman et al. are necessary to provide an operative device. Also, note that Blackman et al. recognizes that a suitable drying time is needed for drying ink printed on a media sheet before feeding another media sheet to a print zone, and the drying time is depending on the type of print source, i.e., the type of a media sheet and the type of ink to be used (Blackman et al., col.6 lines 8-11). Therefore, in Blackman et al., the ink which is printed on the first side of the sheet (M) is allowed to dry before the media sheet is fed back for printing on another side by the feed rollers 60 and the metering roller 78 (Blackman et al., col.9 lines 1-5).

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Blackman et al. (US 6,029,020) in view of Goto et al. (US 5,225,881).

Art Unit: 2854

Blackman et al. teaches all that is claimed, except the second sheet ejection path having a switchback mechanism. Goto et al. teaches an image recording apparatus having a housing 100 (Goto et al., Fig.2), a paper source or an input cassette or tray 4a, a sheet transportation path having transport rollers 6a,7a,8,9, a first sheet ejection path 10 including ejection rollers (no numeral reference) and a first sheet diverting mechanism 12 configured for diverting the printed sheet to the first ejection path, a second sheet ejection path 13a having a switchback mechanism 35a, 35b (Goto et al., Figs.5-8), or 62 (Goto et al., Figs.11-13c) including the second sheet diverting mechanism 14c,14d,14e positioned in the second sheet ejection path for directing the recording sheet to an image recording station 3. In view of the teaching of Goto et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the inkjet recording apparatus of Blackman et al. by providing the second sheet ejection path as taught by Goto et al. for ensuring optimal print quality.

Conclusion

The patent to Tomoe et al. is cited to show other structure having obvious similarities to the claimed structure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2169. The examiner can normally be reached daily from 9 AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld, can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2854

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Anthony Nguyen

9/2/04

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800